1	D. Andrew Gaona (028414)					
2	Austin C. Yost (034602) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC 2800 North Control Avenue, Suite 1000					
3	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 T: (602) 381-5486					
4	agaona@cblawyers.com ayost@cblawyers.com					
5	Sambo (Bo) Dul (030313)					
6	Noah T. Gabrielsen (037161) Office of Arizona Governor Katie Hobbs					
7	1700 West Washington Street, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85004					
8	T: (602) 542-6578 bdul@az.gov					
9	ngabrielsen@az.gov					
10	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Governor Katie Hobbs					
11	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT					
12	MARICOPA COUNTY					
13	ARIZONA STATE SENATE, a constitutional	) No. CV2023-019899				
14	body; and WARREN PETERSEN, in his official capacity as the President of the	) STIPULATION TO THE ENTRY OF				
15	Arizona State Senate,	) FINAL JUDGMENT				
16	Plaintiffs, v.	) (Assigned to The Hon. Scott Blaney)				
17	V. KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the	)				
18	Governor of Arizona	)				
19 20	Defendant.	)				
20 21	Plaintiffs Warren Petersen and the Arizona Senate and Defendant Governor Katie Hobbs					
21	stipulate to the entry of the proposed final judgment attached as Exhibit 1.					
22						
23 24						
25						
26						

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 12th day of August, 2024.			
2	COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC			
3	By /s/ D. Andrew Gaona			
4	D. Andrew Gaona Austin C. Yost			
5	OFFICE OF ARIZONA GOVERNOR KATIE HOBBS			
6	By_/s/ Sambo (Bo) Dul			
7	Sambo (Bo) Dul			
8	Noah T. Gabrielsen			
9	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Governor Katie Hobbs			
10	STATECRAFT PLLC			
11	By_/s/ Thomas Basile (w/ permission)			
12	Kory Langhofer Thomas Basile			
13				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
15	ORIGINAL efiled and served via electronic			
16	means this 12th day of August, 2024, upon:			
17	The Honorable Scott Blaney c/o Erin Kelly, Judicial Assistant			
18	Erin.Kelly@jbazmc.maricopa.gov			
19	Kory Langhofer <u>kory@statecraftlaw.com</u> Thomas Basile			
20	tom@statecraftlaw.com Statecraft PLLC			
21	649 North Fourth Avenue, First Floor			
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85003 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs			
23	/s/ Diana J. Hanson			
24				
25				
26				
	- 1 -			
	- 1 -			

## **EXHIBIT 1**

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT				
9	MARICOPA COUNTY				
10	ARIZONA STATE SENATE, a constitutional	)	No. CV2023-019899		
11	body; and WARREN PETERSEN, in his official capacity as the President of the	)	JUDGMENT		
12	Arizona State Senate,	) )	(Assigned to The Hon. Scott Blaney)		
13	Plaintiffs, v.	)			
14	KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the	)			
15	Governor of Arizona	)			
16	Defendant.	)			
17					
18			esident Warren Petersen and the Arizona		
19	Senate (collectively, "Senate") filed suit again	ist Go	overnor Katie Hobbs seeking declaratory,		

mandamus, and injunctive relief. On June 5, 2024, the Court issued an interlocutory minute entry 20 order ("June 5 Order") regarding the Senate's request for certain declaratory relief, but put off 21 the consideration of whether mandamus or injunctive relief is appropriate pending a subsequent 22 hearing to give the parties an opportunity to resolve the matter without further intervention from 23 the Court. The parties later informed the Court that they reached an agreement that obviates the need for further proceedings or a ruling from the Court on the Senate's request for mandamus and injunctive relief.

24 25 26 The parties later submitted a proposed stipulated form of judgment for the Court's
 consideration, which the Court accepts. As a result, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED,
 AND ADJUDGED as follows:

The Court declares that, because the agency director positions at issue in this
 litigation became vacant outside of a legislative session, A.R.S. § 38-211(C) mandates that the
 Governor both nominate directors to those positions and transmit those nominations by the first
 week of the next regular legislative session. The Court finds that the Governor did not comply
 with these requirements for the agency director positions at issue.

9 2. This Judgment supersedes the June 5 Order, and nothing in either the June 5
10 Order or this Judgment may be construed as a ruling on the validity or legality of the
11 appointment of Executive Deputy Directors for the agencies at issue or any actions taken by
12 those deputy directors pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-461.

3. The Governor and Senate reached a mutually acceptable agreement under which
the Governor will nominate and transmit nominations for the agency director positions at issue
and the parties agree that A.R.S. § 38-211(E)'s one-year time period starts on the date of those
new nominations. The parties also agree that each side will bear their own attorneys' fees and
costs. Accordingly, there is no need for further proceedings or a ruling from this Court on the
Senate's request for mandamus and injunctive relief.

4. No further matters remain pending before the Court, and thus the Court enters
 this final judgment under Rule 54(c), Ariz. R. Civ. P.

DATED:

21

22

23

24

25

26

The Honorable Scott Blaney Maricopa County Superior Court

- 2 -